## **REMARKS**

By the present Amendment, claims 11 and 14-17 are amended, and claim 22 is cancelled. This leaves claims 11-21 and 23-25 pending in the application, with claim 11 being independent.

Claims 11-15, 17 and 19-21 and 23-25 are generic to both species and read on the elected embodiment of Figs. 1-2. Claims 16 and 18 read on the embodiment of Figs. 3-4.

## **Drawing Objection**

The drawings remain objected to under 37 C.F.R. §1.83(a) for allegedly failing to adequately illustrate the plural tensioners (set screws) of claim 13 and the plural tensioners (set screws) distributed over a circle of claim 17. Newly added Fig. 5 is an end view with multiple tensioners or set screws illustrated more clearly.

Thus, the application complies with 37 C.F.R. §1.83.

## Objection to Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to as allegedly being too long. The abstract is amended to contain 50-150 words to avoid this objection.

## Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §102

Claim 11 is amended to include the limitations of previously presented claim 22 to constitute claim 22 rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims indicated as being allowable. Thus, claim 11 and claims 12-21 and 23-25 dependent upon claim 11, including any withdrawn claims, should now be allowed.

Claims 14-17 are amended to conform to the changes in claim 11.

In view of the foregoing, claims 11-21 and 23-25 are allowable. Prompt and favorable action is solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Mark S. Bicks

Reg. No. 28,770

Roylance, Abrams, Berdo & Goodman, LLP 1300 19th Street, NW, Suite 600 Washington, DC 20036 (202) 659-9076

Dated: June 23, 2009